



Subject:	Dual Language Street Signs Policy
Date:	19 June 2020
Reporting Officer:	John Walsh, City Solicitor / Director of Legal & Civic Services
Contact Officer:	Aidan Thatcher, Director of Planning & Building Control

Restricted Reports		
Is this report restricted?	Yes No	X
If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted?		
After Committee Decision		
After Council Decision		
Some time in the future		
Never		

Call-in Is the decision eligible for Call-in? Yes

1.0	Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues	
1.1	The purpose of this report is for Members to consider the Notice of Motion in relation to the	
	Dual Language Street Signs Policy.	
2.0	Recommendations	
2.1	The Committee is asked to:	
	Consider the Notice of Motion in relation to the Dual Language Street Signs Policy.	
	Consider a minimum response threshold in respect of the survey requirement. If	
	Members are minded to change the policy, a revised policy will be drafted in	
	accordance with your decision and presented for adoption with an equality	
	screening carried out at your next meeting. Committee to note that other revisions	
	could be made to the current policy to address issues which have arisen since it	
	was first adopted, for example new streets or streets which have no occupiers, and	

ould you bool for h by y 2009 y 2020, North in
n by y 2009 y 2020,
n by y 2009 y 2020,
y 2009 y 2020,
y 2020,
y 2020,
y 2020,
y 2020,
-
North in
North in
street to
ed, a
ng if they
, namely,
on, 2/3rds
eturned,
ngual
t Care,
n the
o serve
ne
anguage
idents if
o the
the
the
the t will be
t

	signage has been successful. Non-respondents will not be considered as a
	negative response; and
	i. those respondents who respond as 'Don't Care' are not considered to be a
	negative response and will be treated as a void vote."
3.2	The motion was seconded by Councillor McLaughlin. In accordance with Standing Order
0.2	13(f), the motion was referred, without discussion, to the People and Communities
	Committee.
	Key Issues
3.3	The motion sets out a proposal to move from the current arrangements (¹ / ₃ expressing an interest by petition and ² / ₃ indicating a preference in a subsequent survey to erect a dual language street sign) to a simple majority of respondents. The proposal would mean that non-respondents are not considered in the context of any final decision on dual street naming. The proposal retains the current trigger through a petition to initiate the process. Given that no minimum response threshold is established Members may wish to consider that if you support the motion to change the current policy, whether a minimum threshold of
	those on the electoral register responding should be met through the survey before the matter is referred to committees for consideration. For example that the respondents to the survey would equate to a minimum of $\frac{1}{3}$ (or such other figure as you may agree) to those on the electoral register in that street.
3.4	Article 11 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1995 requires the Council to take into account the views of the occupiers in a street.
3.5	The current Council policy was subject to a legal challenge which was successfully defended. A copy of the judgement is attached for information. This does not affect the ability of the Council to adopt a different policy should it choose to do so.
3.6	Some political concern has been expressed as to how the process is undertaken in terms of engagement relating to the consultation required to inform the decision. A protocol to sit alongside the policy could establish principles underpinning that process. The rationale for this approach, in terms of the political view expressed, is to ensure the integrity of the process.

	Financial & Resource Implications
3.7	Any change to the policy to the extent suggested is likely to result in a greater number of applications and a greater number of signs to be erected.
	Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment
3.8	This change of policy will need to be equality screened.
4.0	Appendices – Documents Attached
	Appendix 1 – Street Naming and Buildings Numbering Policy which incorporates a Dual
	Language Street Signs Policy
	Appendix 2 - Judgement